

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/813,990 | 03/22/2001 | Minako Hijikata | 205057US0SRD | 2667 |
| 22850 | 7590 10/02/2003 | | EXAMINER | |
| OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET | | | CHAKRABARTI, ARUN K | |
| | IA, VA 22314 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1634 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 10/02/2003 | 3 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/813,990

Hijikata

Examiner

Arun Chakrabarti

Art Unit 1634



| | | | عست |
|---|---|--|-----|
| | AILING DATE of this communication appears | on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | |
| Period for Reply | STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET | TO EVDIDE 2 MONTH/S) EDOM | |
| | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. | IO EXFINE INIONTH(3) PROIN | |
| - Extensions of time n | nay be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In | no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the | |
| mailing date of this of the period for reply | y specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within th | ne statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. | |
| - Failure to reply withi | in the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the | | |
| | by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of t adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | his communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any | |
| Status | | | |
| 1) X Respons | ive to communication(s) filed on <u>Aug 18, 2</u> | 2003 | |
| 2a) 💢 This acti | on is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This act | ion is non-final. | |
| | is application is in condition for allowance ϵ_0 accordance with the practice under Ex pa | except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. | |
| Disposition of Cla | aims | | |
| 4) 💢 Claim(s) | 18-6.1 | is/are pending in the application. | |
| 4a) Of the | above, claim(s) <u>21 and 22</u> | is/are withdrawn from consideration. | |
| 5) Claim(s) | | is/are allowed. | |
| _ | | is/are rejected. | |
| 7) 💢 Claim(s) | 19 and 20 | is/are objected to. | |
| 8) 💢 Claims <u>2</u> | 5-45 and 47-61 | are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | |
| Application Pape | rs | | |
| 9) 🗌 The spec | cification is objected to by the Examiner. | | |
| 10) The drav | ving(s) filed onis/are | a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner. | |
| Applicar | nt may not request that any objection to the d | rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | |
| ·11)☐ The prop | oosed drawing correction filed on | is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examin | er. |
| If approv | ved, corrected drawings are required in reply t | to this Office action. | |
| 12) The oath | n or declaration is objected to by the Exami | ner. | |
| Priority under 35 | U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | |
| | ledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr | riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | |
| | Some* c) None of: | | |
| 1. 🗌 Cer | rtified copies of the priority documents hav | e been received. | |
| 2. 🗌 Cer | rtified copies of the priority documents hav | e been received in Application No | |
| 3. 🗆 Cor | | ocuments have been received in this National Stage | |
| *See the att | application from the International Burea ached detailed Office action for a list of the | | |
| 14) Acknowl | ledgement is made of a claim for domestic | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). | |
| a) 🗌 The tra | anslation of the foreign language provisiona | I application has been received. | |
| 15) Acknowl | ledgement is made of a claim for domestic | priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | |
| Attachment(s) | | | |
| 1) Notice of Refere | nces Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |
| 3) Information Disc | iosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | 6) X Other: Detailed Action | 1 |

Application/Control Number: 09/813,990 Page 2

Art Unit: 1634

DETAILED ACTION

Current Status of the Application

1. Applicant's amendment received on August 18, 2003, has been entered. Claims 21 and 22 have been canceled without prejudice towards further prosecution. Claims 18 and 24 have been amended.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 18, 23, 24, and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 18, 23, 24, and 46 are rejected over the recitation of the claim language in subsection (et) of claim 18, "from the group consisting of (at) and (dt)". The subsections (ct) and (dt) have been deleted after the amendment. In absence of a subsection (dt) in the claim, it is not clear what is encompassed by the claim. The metes and bounds of the claims are vague and indefinite.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/813,990

Art Unit: 1634

Ų,

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 19 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but 4. would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Amendment

5. In response to amendment, all previous rejections have been withdrawn. However, new 112 (second paragraph) rejection has been included.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to all pending claims have been considered but are 6. moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO Application/Control Number: 09/813,990

Art Unit: 1634

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arun Chakrabarti, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 306-5818. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 AM-4:30 PM from Monday to Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion, can be reached on (703) 308-1119. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 746-4979. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group LIE Chantae Dessau whose telephone number is

(703) 605-1237.

Arun Chakendaexamines

Patent Examiner,

September 29, 2003

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1800